



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/598,550 | 09/05/2006 | Guy Raeber | ICB0272 | 7206 |
| 113 | 7590 | 11/26/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| GRIFFIN BUTLER WHISENHUNT & SZIPLL LLP SUITE PH-1 2300 NINTH STREET SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 222042396 | | | KAYES, SEAN PHILLIP | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2833 | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 11/26/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/598,550 | RAEBER ET AL. |
| | Examiner Sean Kayes | Art Unit 2833 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sean Kayes. (3) _____

(2) Melissa Barber. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.


Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant noted that item 12 of the PTOL-326 (Office Action Summary - mailed 11/1/2007) indicates that only some of the foreign priority documents have been received. Review of the file indicates that both priority documents (PCT/EP05/002165 and EP 04100859.0) have been received on 9/5/2006. It was indicated that the PTOL-326 item 12 (mailed 11/1/2007) is in error and that All certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



11/15/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required